Distribution System Monitoring for VOCs and SVOCs After the Marshall Fire

February 13, 2023

Louisville Water System Overview

- Two treatment plants
 - South Plant impacted by the fire and initially offline due to loss of power
 - North Plant able to maintain operation throughout the disaster
- Louisville maintained pressure for most of the fire, but began receiving reports of low pressure
- Determined tank levels had reached critical levels to avoid full pressure loss, opened valves to send untreated reservoir water into distribution system



Water System Impacts

- Throughout the fire, water system staff worked to shut curb stops and valves to destroyed homes and isolating neighborhoods to reduce water loss
- Estimated that Louisville was losing between 50% to 90% of the water they were producing



Initial Water System Recovery

- Assessment and flushing of distribution system
 - Boil water advisory issued
 - Flushing began at treatment plants moving to the terminal mains in the system
 - Operations staff, together with mutual aid from neighboring communities, completed full flush of distribution system in 4 days (typically requires up to 6 weeks)
 - Boil water advisory lifted upon successful flushing and no detection of coliforms



VOC and SVOC Concerns

- Recent California and Oregon wildfire-impacted utilities had widespread occurrence
- Testing methods difficult to nail down and get labs to perform with quick response
- Hundreds of samples collected, analyzed, shared
- Results indicated limited occurrence but legitimate concerns
- Flushing demonstrated to mitigate concerns
- Sampling program developed in collaboration with national experts and CDPHE



Why VOCs?

- Volatile organic compounds have become an area of concern in the aftermath of wildfires
- VOC contamination of water systems discovered after wildfires in California and Oregon (USEPA 2021; FEMA 2020)
- Several public water systems have experienced wildfire related benzene contamination since 2017 (Proctor et al. 2019; Odimayomi et al. 2021)
- Potential sources of VOC contamination include:
 - Heating of pipe materials and direct leaching
 - Depressurization or fire fighting demands allowing contaminated materials to enter infrastructure (Whelton et al., 2023)



Louisville Analytical Plan

Laboratories

- 6 laboratories contacted difficult to find a lab capable of handling sample volume and required turnaround time (< 5 days, 2-3 days ideal)
- Bottle shortage



Methods

- VOCs EPA Methods 524.2, 524.4, and 8260C were applied by different labs with varied chemicals included in the method – determined a list of required chemicals in collaboration with CDPHE and other experts
- SVOC EPA Method 8270E





Louisville Sampling Plan

1. Distribution system

• Sampling from hydrants and blow-offs prior to opening curb stops



2. Standing structures

• Sample habitable structures in burn areas upon clearing the mains





Louisville Sampling Plan

3. Burned structures

 Investigate contamination in service lines to burned structures



4. Meter pits

• Sampling at structures under construction before connecting meter





- 1. Distribution system sample methods
 - Representative locations selected throughout burn area
 - 1st sample stagnant
 - 2nd sample flush for ~5 minutes and check chlorine
 - Flush and resample as necessary dependent on results
 - Hydraulically isolated from undamaged areas until acceptable VOC results
 - Precautionary sampling is ongoing





2. Standing Structure sample methods

- Upon receiving cleared samples from the main, move to sampling standing structures in the burn area
- Curb stops opened by City staff
- Samples taken from kitchen sink
- 1st sample stagnant
- 2nd sample flush entire home for ~30 minutes, check chlorine
- Curb stop closed again until VOCs are cleared





3. Burned Structure sample methods

- After clearing habitable structures, move to investigating subset of burned structure service lines
- Sample from service line to determine required excavation during rebuild process
- 1st sample stagnant
- 2nd sample flush for 5 minutes, check chlorine
- Excavated and resampled as needed





4. Meter pit sample methods

- Meter pit sampling included in permitting and inspection process
- No VOCs detected so far
- May be discontinued upon sampling statistically significant number of locations
- 1st sample stagnant
- 2nd sample flush for 5 minutes, check chlorine
- Meter installed after receiving acceptable results





Results

• Total number of samples: ~700 (not including some in process)

Sample Type	Number of Stagnant	Number of Flushed
Distribution System	145	144
Standing Structures	118	117
Burned Structures	37	36
Meter Pits	~30	~30
TOTAL	~350	~350

Some additional samples not included in these categories (didn't follow flush/stagnant protocol, etc)

Total number of individual analyses performed: > 80,000





Stagnant Flushed





Results

- 912 analyses (~1%) were above the MDL
 - Not including THMs
- 30 analyses (<0.1%) exceeded an MCL
 - VOCs: ethylbenzene (3), benzene (15), styrene (12)
 - Follow up samples found that flushing rapidly reduced chemical contamination

Does not include meter pit sampling



Most frequently above MDL



Snapshot of a Neighborhood

- Mt Evans Court collected samples from distribution system, standing structures, and burned structures
- Hydrant sample:
 - Detected benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene
 - Benzene above MCL in stagnant sample ND after flushing
- Standing structure:
 - Detected benzene below MCL in stagnant, ND in flush
 - Repeated sample after 72-hour stagnation no benzene detected
- Burned structure
 - Benzene exceeded MCL in stagnant sample, ND in flush
 - Repeated sample after 72-hour stagnation no benzene detected







Benzene at Mt. Evans Court



25

Summary

- One of the most comprehensive sampling efforts for chemical contamination in a distribution system post-wildfire
- Possibly the first confirmation of this type of chemical contamination in Colorado
- Maintaining distribution system pressure is hypothesized to prevent contaminants from entering distribution system during fire related disasters
- Where possible, determine sampling methods and laboratories before they are needed
- Flushing significantly reduced contaminant levels and is a critical part of the recovery process



Acknowledgements

- City of Louisville Cory Peterson, Kurt Kowar, Greg Venette, Michael Cleveland, Tom Czajka, Jack Manian, Ben Francisco, Sandy Andretich, Justin Ferron, many others!
- CDPHE
- ALS Laboratory
- Andrew J. Whelton, Chad Seidel, Brad P. Wham, Erica C. Fischer, Kristofer Isaacson, Caroline Jankowski, Nathan MacArthur, Elizabeth McKenna, Christian Ley, The Marshall Fire: Scientific and Policy Needs for Water System Disaster Response, AWWA Water Science, 2023



Questions?

Nathan MacArthur Water Process Engineer <u>nmacarthur@coronaenv.com</u>





